BY MR. ROBERTSON:

- Q I may have lost track. If the customer called up the CSR and has specific requests and the CSR was unfamiliar with their precise part or part numbers associated with that request, what would that CSR have to do?
- A They'd have to do some research on the request.

 Normally that research would involve accessing the hard copy catalog.
- Q So the CSR himself or herself would look through catalog and try and identify whatever product was the customer was receiving; is that right?
- A Yeah. The catalog would be organized in such a way that there would be some access to the taxonomy of the catalog. So, for example, if it was a beaker. Start looking in glassware. And there are indexes in the back saying beakers are on page 200 to 250. And they'd start looking at that. And they'd start getting some information from that.

Probably if it was very unspecific, then they'd get to a certain point and they'd have to contact the requester back again and try to get some additional information.

Q You mentioned this catalog, this paper catalog, that they had thousands or tens of thousands.

1 A Hundreds of thousands.

Q Now, the RIM system on its database, did it contain every part number for every product that Fisher offered?

A The only parts that were in the RIMS inventory database were those parts that the RIMS system was managing in inventory. Those are the only parts.

Q So if I was a customer and I didn't have in the on-site inventory the Fisher-owned product, and I wanted to obtain a part that wasn't already in that database, could I use the RIMS system or not?

A Yes, you could use the RIMS system.

O How would I do that?

A The RIMS system had the ability to communicate to the Fisher host for obtaining information on products. When we talked about the first step in entering the req, a time req, in RIMS was to enter the pin number and the Fisher part number.

Once that was entered, hit the enter key, there would be a series of business logic that would take place in the system. It would do a look-up first to see if that product was a product that was local in the inventory. If it didn't find it there, then it would proceed to connect up to the host.

Once again, it's leaving the local system,

242

1 systematically leaving it, and going up and talking to

2 some programs that are on a host, which would do

3 product validation, do some inventory sourcing, and

4 pricing, and return that information back.

Q Let me see if I understand this because you made reference to a few terms. I just want to make sure we're clear on what they mean.

So if at the customer location where the Fisher-owned inventory is and the information, the part number, is not on a database there, the RIMS system had the ability to go back to -- and I think you referred to it as a host or host computer?

A Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

20

21

22

- Q Was that a mainframe system at Fisher?
- 15 A Yes, it was a mainframe system. When we were

16 | talking earlier, it's the same system that our

17 customer service reps would have had. These customer

service centers would have been taking the calls at

19 the call center and entering the orders into --

Q On the host computer, was that Fisher product as well?

- A Yes, it was Fisher product only.
- 23 Q Well, where --
- 24 | A It would be Fisher products that Fisher would buy.
- 25 Q And that Fisher would sell to its customers as a

Case 3:09-cv-00620-REP Document 647 Filed 03/29/11 Page 4 of 18 PageID# 17136 243 MOMYER - DIRECT distributor? 1 2 Α Yes. THE COURT: Did Fisher make anything? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, about a third of the 4 products that it sold it manufactured. 5 6 THE COURT: And it bought two-thirds of the 7 other products it sold from other people and kept them in inventory or had some arrangement to get it to 8 9 them? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 What is this mainframe or host computer you described, sir? 12 13 Α Well --The one specifically we're talking about used in 14 15 this RIMS system back in the late '80s and early '90's? 16 It would have been an IBM computer running an NBS 17 operating system. I don't know if that helps or not. 18 19 Well, but was it able to communicate with a local 20 computer or something located --

Absolutely. We talked about it. There was a Α dataline between the RIMS computer and this mainframe.

And that dataline allowed for the interaction,

21

22

23

24

25

electronic interaction, between two computers.

You basically -- the program would say, I need to

get information from the mainframe. And there would be a transaction that would be shipped across the dataline up to the mainframe, which would, for example, say, Validate this product. We had passed a block of data in this transaction across a dataline, and in that would be the product number. And then we'd call -- we would initiate the product validation routine, which we'd then say, Here's the product. Go look it up on the databases that are sitting on the mainframe.

Q If the customer is requesting a product that was available, Fisher product inventory at its site, what would happen in that instance?

A The second step in the process is to source it, and if it was a product that was not local, it was product that was stored within one of the Fisher's warehouses, there would be a request for availability transaction.

It would be sent from the RIMS system, which is running local at the customer site over this dataline to the mainframe. And it would initiate a program which is availability program, which it would say, Here's this product. Go out and find where that product may be in any one of the Fisher distribution centers which would be across the United States.

Q When you say "source it," do you mean source it

2 | from Fisher?

A It's always sourced from Fisher. I'm sorry. It's provide availability of this product. Where is it

5 stored within the Fisher distribution network.

THE COURT: As you're using "source," it means where it is?

THE WITNESS: In this instance, yes.

THE COURT: Where it is within the Fisher

system?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

BY MR. ROBERTSON:

Q Since this was Fisher-owned inventory, wherever it was kept, whether it was at Fisher warehouse or at a customer-owned warehouse or stockroom, how did Fisher qo about getting paid for these items?

A Well, actually, if it's Fisher-owned inventory, which means we own it. It's sitting at the customer site. The customer hasn't purchased it yet. Whenever the product is pulled off the shelf and was recorded in the RIMS system, that would kick off another transaction, which would be sent off to the Fisher mainframe, which would say, Bill this customer for this product.

So the issuing of a Fisher-owned item in a RIMS

system would initiate a billing transaction. That's one way that we initiate billing for the product.

A second way --

- Q Let me just ask you on that, was that a paper billing process at that point?
- A In most cases it would have been a paper billing.

 In some cases, the Fisher mainframe would have had the
 ability to transmit EDI invoices, but for the most
 part, it would have been paper invoices that was sent
 out.
 - Q Were all of Fisher's warehouses connected electronically in some way in order to determine --
 - A Yes, they're all connected into this central mainframe system, which was in Pittsburgh.
 - Q So how do you account for the products in the RIMS system when it shipped an item from its warehouse to a customer?
 - A It really depends on the types of product you're looking at. There were two different types of products that we manage within the RIMS database. One is the JIT Fisher owned. We just talked about the billing. What would happen would be -- how do we keep track of that inventory? We mentioned a little bit earlier what we called a replenishment program. This is when we determine when we have to restock the local

crib, local stockroom.

The customer's service rep periodically would run this replenishment program, which would once again determine if you needed product. And for the Fisher-owned product, since Fisher owns it, what we would do is we would initiate a transfer which says, Move inventory really from the closest Fisher distribution center to this customer site.

A transfer is really just moving a product from point A to point B without changing ownership of the inventory. Fisher still owns it, but we had to keep track of that inventory because you couldn't have it get lost somewhere along the way.

So we basically recorded the transit. We call it "in transit." Whenever you left the Fisher distribution center and you're going to move to the local customer center warehouse crib, it would create an in transit action on that transfer order.

When the product was received, physically received, at the customer stockroom, a Fisher customer service rep would receive into the RIMS system that product, and that would, in essence, close out that transfer order. So what has happened is we were able to track from the point in time the request was made to the point in time it was received in keeping track

248

of the inventory, which is an important part because
that was one of the problems we had was keeping track
of that Fisher-owned inventory.

Q If the customer had, for example, inventory in its stockroom that was its own inventory, inventory that it had purchased elsewhere and placed in its stockroom, did RIMS have the ability to at least track the availability of that customer-owned product?

A Yes, it did.

- Q Did those have any special name in the RIMS system?
- 12 A Customer-owned inventory.
- Q Are you familiar with the term "non-catalog items"?
- 15 | A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 16 Q What was that?
- Non-cat items were items that Fisher would buy for 17 a customer but they weren't in this massive catalog. 18 A customer may want to have -- a prime example is a 19 20 broom. Fisher wouldn't normally have brooms in their catalog. They may now, but they didn't when I was 21 So you could put a broom in inventory, mark it 22 23 as a non-cat item that Fisher would procure, in which 24 case you could make the request on the req for this non-cat item, and it would then send that activity up 25

to the host since the host was doing the sourcing of the product.

And then from that point on Fisher's procurement would purchase the product for the customer and ship it to the customer site.

Q I'd like to break that down if I could. There's a lot of information there. Could the CSR then try and order these non-catalog items using the RIMS system, could he or she do that directly?

A No.

Q You mentioned you'd have to send this order to procurement in order to obtain that. How is that process done?

A What really happened was that there would be a form that would pop in the RIMS system which would be requesting the customer service rep to fill out some information that would identify that product.

Remember, the product was not a Fisher catalog item.

they had to fill out things like here's the vendor.

Here's what I think the vendor part number is. Here's a description of that part. And some other additional information that would help identify that product to a

So the Fisher part number doesn't work anymore.

procurement person at Fisher.

So they'd fill that form out and submit it. And

250

1 by submitting it, it would then move that transaction

2 | from the local computer up to the mainframe. And

3 basically all that would happen at the mainframe would

4 be it would print out at the procurement office a

piece of paper saying this customer is requesting you

6 to buy this for them.

7 Q So this form, it's an electronic form, some

8 | information would be entered. Was there any way to

use that form to do some search capabilities for that

10 item?

5

9

12

14

18

20

25

11 A No. All it is is a fill-in-the-blanks form.

Q There would be some effort to make the description

13 | as to what the item might be, that would be

electronically transmitted to this procurement

15 | individual?

16 A Yes.

17 \parallel Q And then he would make an effort to try and see

where they might be able to locate this product; is

19 that right?

A Yes. In that case, they actually would be doing

21 some sourcing.

22 THE COURT: Did the next question come to be:

23 | Did you need to arrive at a way to do things better?

24 | Is that the next question, Mr. Robertson?

MR. ROBERTSON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And then the next question is:

And what did you do to do that? Is that right?

Q I would like to ask you a few questions about some of the problems that were associated with the RIMS system, just generally a high view, and then what were some of the things you tried to do to solve those problems.

A Okay. If you'd recall the problems that we were seeing where first of all our customer was soliciting information involving our customer service rep in helping identify products, find a product, source of product, and identify the product. We were spending a lot of time and effort in that research.

So there's a lot of inefficiencies that were in play there, as well as the customer, in some cases, even though the customer service person did their best effort to try and discern what product it was, sometimes they really missed. It wasn't exactly what the customer wanted.

So identifying the product and the entry of the product by the customer service person seemed to be an issue that we needed to resolve. So we went about that task. The problem was, we felt, was try and engage our customers to the process of giving them the information in their hands, to allow them to identify

- 1 | the product, source of product, and then
- 2 | systematically submit that request.
- 3 Q Do you know whether or not Fisher ever applied for
- 4 | a trademark with respect to this RIMS system?
- 5 A I believe it did.
- 6 Q Let me show you what's been marked as Defendant's
- 7 | Exhibit No. 61. It's in your notebook there. And ask
- 8 you if you have seen this document before?
- 9 A Which document is that?
- 10 Q It's Defendant's Exhibit No. 61. It's towards the
- 11 back.
- 12 A I see it. I've got it.
- 13 Q Have you ever seen this document before?
- 14 | A Yes, I have.
- 15 Q Is this a technical document describing the
- 16 capabilities of the Fisher RIMS system?
- 17 \parallel A I would consider this a marketing document that we
- 18 would use to introduce the high level features of the
- 19 product.
- 20 Q Are the specifics of the features of the product
- 21 that you have been describing and testifying to today,
- 22 | are they set forth in detail in this marketing
- 23 document?
- 24 A Not in detail.
- 25 Q Do you know whether this document was used by

1 technical people in utilizing the RIMS system you have

A No. No, it would not have been.

been discussing?

- 4 | Q Do you know whether or not, if you want to just
- 5 peruse the document, whether it's actually discussing
- 6 all the features of the RIMS system? I can direct you
- 7 | to the page that ends 598, for example.
- 8 A Some of them features were in the RIMS system.
- 9 Many of them were put in various releases of the
- 10 | product. Some of the features were not actually
- 11 | employed.

2

3

- 12 | Q When you say not actually employed, you mean never
- 13 employed by the RIMS system?
- 14 | A No.
- 15 Q When you say no, you mean that statement I just
- 16 made was correct?
- 17 | A That's correct. The statement you made is
- 18 correct. We never did those. I think we had some
- 19 \parallel aspirations to do them, but we never pulled them off.
- 20 Q So could you just give me an example, if you
- 21 would?
- 22 | A Under requisition management features, four down,
- 23 | "Allows flexible remote requisitioning by formatted
- 24 screen, we really never provide for remote
- 25 | requisitioning in the system.

Q Anything else?

A The third point down in that requisition management features, that's really kind of a bold statement there. We did not interface all types of purchases. We did have some interfaces. As I can recall we had two interfaces that we developed, but it wasn't all types. So it was somewhat restrictive.

Under "Inventory Control Features," if you take a look at "Utilizes customized bar codes and labels to expedite your receiving process," that feature was talked about but never implemented.

And then finally under "System Customization Features," we did utilize some file transfers, but we never got to the point we used EDI.

- Q Was the RIMS system then, the RIMS system that Fisher created, and I think I understood you to say went through many iterations, was that ever made publicly available, the technical information?
- 19 A No.
 - Q If I wanted to learn more about the RIMS system in the early '90s, would I have been able to do so?
 - A If you were an employee of Fisher.
- Q Was it maintained proprietary and confidential to Fisher?
- 25 A Yes.

1 Q Could anybody obtain copies of the RIMS software

- 2 | in the 1990s?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Did you need a password to get into the RIMS
- 5 software?
- 6 A Yes, you had to log into the system.
- 7 Q Were there product manuals associated with the
- 8 RIMS software?
- 9 A There were operating manuals, but they were
- 10 exclusively for use of the Fisher personnel.
- 11 | Q Were they maintained proprietary to Fisher and
- 12 confidential?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 | Q Could Fisher's customers get copies of those
- 15 | manuals?
- 16 A They shouldn't have.
- 17 | Q Were they identified as being confidential to
- 18 | Fisher?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 MR. ROBERTSON: Your Honor, I'm about to get
- 21 | into the electronic sourcing system and problems that
- 22 were solved. If I could ask your indulgence, Your
- 23 Honor, I could seriously use a short biological break.
- 24 THE COURT: I think all these people over
- 25 here have been here for a long time, and they could

use a break a little longer than that, couldn't you?

They have been at it a long time. And if you're going to start a new area of inquiry, we'll go with that.

So, ladies and gentlemen, if you will give your notebooks to Mr. Neal with your names on them so he can return them to you tomorrow, then you can go home and relax.

Please don't discuss the case with anyone.

If someone wants to know what it's about and you feel like you understand what it's about, you still can't talk to them. You just blame me and tell them that I said you are not allowed to do that. And then you'll be free to do whatever you want to do tonight. And we'll see you at 9:00 o'clock in the morning.

Mr. Compher, are you able to get here all right without getting up at 4 a.m.?

A JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: We'll have some coffee and bagels here for you tomorrow. Thank you very much for your careful attention. You're excused.

(The jury has exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: How much longer do you think you have of this witness?

MR. ROBERTSON: About an hour and 15 minutes to an hour and a half, Your Honor.

```
257
                      MOMYER - DIRECT
 1
             THE COURT: More?
 2
             MR. ROBERTSON: Yes, sir. I'm about to get
    into the inventions and the developments and the
 3
    problems.
 4
             THE COURT: Let's use the evening to see if
 5
 6
    you can't hone your examination a little bit.
 7
             MR. ROBERTSON: All right, sir.
             THE COURT: Are you familiar with the
 8
9
    television program Raw Hide?
10
             MR. ROBERTSON: I recall it, sir.
11
             THE COURT: Do you know what the theme is?
12
             MR. ROBERTSON: No, sir.
13
             THE COURT: Rolling, rolling, rolling. Get
    those doggies moving. Raw hide. All right.
14
15
             You can leave whatever you want to leave in
16
    here if you'd like to.
             All right. We'll see you. Be combat ready
17
    at nine o'clock. If you have something you need to
18
19
    take up, you let us know before that. Thank you.
20
    We'll be in adjournment.
21
             (The proceedings were adjourned at 5:12 p.m.)
22
23
24
25
```